DEVERILL ROAD, WARMINSTER: PROPOSED WAITING RESTRICTIONS

COMMENTS OF OBJECTION AND SUPPORT

4 Items of Correspondence received (2 objecting and 2 commenting on)

| Ref | Comment received | Number of Times Received | Officer Comment | | | | |
|------|---|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Deve | Deverill Road | | | | | | |
| DV1 | If this proposal goes ahead it will leave the local residents nowhere to park their vehicles | 2 | It is recognised that the introduction of parking restrictions will result in displaced parking. | | | | |
| | There are only just sufficient parking spaces on Chapel Street and Deverill Road as it is. As proposed residents will be forced to park further up the hill or migrate to Fore street, Bread Street or Chapel Street. Unless arrangements have been made for displaced residents, we will be left in an intolerable situation. | | The proposed location of the restrictions, however, has been proposed to protect the junction and ensure access for all residents along Chapel Street is available at all times and free of obstructions. Due to the narrow nature of the road it is not possible to park vehicles on both sides of the road; the restrictions only go back 13.7 metres in Chapel | | | | |
| | Parking is already at a premium in Chapel Street and we often find ourselves unable to park outside our own house due to residents in Deverill Road parking in Chapel Street due to the lack of space there. I feel that putting down double yellow lines, while perhaps improving clarity of vision on the junction, will simply be making what is a major problem into a intolerable one. Very few houses in Chapel Street have off and marking and where does the | | Street which is the equivalent of approximately three parked vehicles on the Chapel Street section. The Highway Code Rule 243 states that drivers do not stop or park within 10 metres of a junction. The proposed restrictions are doing little more than clearing vehicles away from a well-used junction. Whilst the loss of parking has been considered it | | | | |
| | Chapel Street have off road parking and where does the Council expect people to park if the (already limited) available area is further reduced? Please do not proceed with this proposal. | | has to be balanced with the requirements of the road user and the ability to pass and repass on the highway. The proposal has been put together to ensure this is the case. | | | | |

| Ref | Comment received | Number of Times Received | Officer Comment |
|-----|---|-----------------------------|--|
| DV2 | A residents' Parking Scheme would be more appropriate to deal with the issues currently being experienced in the area. Might it not be a better idea to introduce a residents parking scheme along Chapel Street, Bread Street and Deverill Road, so that residents have somewhere safe and reliable to park, while visitors to the pub use their car park. | 1 | This scheme was looking at potential parking restrictions on Deverill Road/Chapel Street, Warminster. It is not possible to drastically change a proposal after the advertisement period; at this stage a residents' parking scheme was not considered. There are a number of criteria and requirements to be met when developing residents parking zone, including sufficient support for a scheme to make it self-funding, this is achieved through a lengthy process of consultation. The revenue raised from the take up of permits for a scheme has to be able to fund the implementation and enforcement of the project, residents' parking schemes should basically only be implemented at a nil cost to the Highway Authority as it is not the Highway Authority's responsibility to provide on street parking. The first stage would be to understand the favourableness of a residents' parking scheme within the road; if this is something that the vast majority of the road would like then it may be worth taking forward to the Town Council. From this point the Town Council can choose to take the matter forward to the Community Area Transport Group (CATG) meeting as a proposed future scheme. |

| Ref | Comment received | Number of Times Received | Officer Comment |
|-----|--|-----------------------------|---|
| DV3 | No Consultation with the residents that this will affect has been undertaken. I am not aware of any consultation with residents on this whole proposal. | 1 | In terms of consulting with the public we publish a public notice within a newspaper circulating within the area (specified in the Regulations), we ensure maximum circulation of this by using the figures of highest selling publication within the area to which the Traffic Regulation Order relates. Whilst we do not have a statutory obligation to post notice on site, we do this as standard practice as we recognise that not everyone reads the local newspaper and it has proven to be very effective in reaching as wide an audience as possible. |
| DV4 | Request for further parking restrictions What provision has there been made whose private drive ways can be obstructed by these cars that are constantly parked on the opposite side of the road.(but are not blocking anyones driveway as these are terraced houses). May I please appeal to the council to put double yellow lines outside 57, and 54 Deverill Road as we are the ones primarily affected. Our access is a safety concern especially when ones in one 70s and beyond. I would like consideration when looking at road in chapel street Warminster wilts for yellow lines as we have trouble now with people parking outside our wall hitting gas pipe with edge of their car doors and where our water has to be turn of in emergency. Could we please have yellow lines by our wall to protect gas pipe and for us to turn water off when need to. | 2 | When a Traffic Regulation is advertised for public comment, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (i.e.: further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions. |